PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

MENES, Catherine ETUDES & PRODUCTIONS SCHLUMBERGER 1, rue Henri Becquerel B.P. 202 F-92142 Clamart Cedex FRANCE PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

06.10.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07391

WO 21.1073

International fijing date (day/month/year)

07.07.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

31.07.2002

Applicant

SERVICES PETROLIERS SCHLUMBERGER et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

GEISWILLER-BOUVET, J

Tel. +49 89 2399-5895



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TR

PCT

REC'D 07 OCT 2004

PCT.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference WO 21.1073			FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/EP 03/07391			International filing date (control of the control o	day/mont	th/year)	Priority date (day/month) 31.07.2002	year)
Internati	ional Pate	ent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	nd IPC		<u> </u>	
E21B1	17/10						
						•	,
Applicar	nt					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SERV	ICES P	ETROLIERS SCHLUM	/IBERGER et al.				
1. T	his inter	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	mination report has been	n prepar	red by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Ex	amining
^	lulionly	and is transmitted to the	applicant according to /	AI IICIE S			
	·						
2. T	his REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including th	is cover	sheet.		
] This	s report is also accompai	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. s	sheets c	of the description	on, claims and/or drawir	ngs which have
	bee	n amended and are the let Rule 70.16 and Section	basis for this report and/	or shee	ts containing re	ectifications made befor	
-				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
1	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3. Т	his repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following ite	ems:			
ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
II.		Priority					
U	·	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to no	ovelty, i	nventive step a	nd industrial applicabili	ty
1/	• —	Lack of unity of inventi					
V	/ ⊠	Reasoned statement u	inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit ons supporting such sta	th regar tement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industria	al applicability;
V	/I 🗆	Certain documents cite	•				
V	/II 🗆	Certain defects in the i	international application				
V	/III 🗆	Certain observations of	n the international appli	cation			
Date of	submissio	on of the demand		Date of	completion of th	is report	
17.02.2004				06.10.2004			
771521233				00.10.2004			
Name a	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				zed Officer		ciches Petanten
European Patent Office						ille M	
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d				Ott, S			
	Fa	x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	• • • • •	Telepho	one No. +49 89 2	399-7429	· segama and segama

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07391

 Basis of the rep 	port	re	the	of	Basis	l.
--------------------------------------	------	----	-----	----	-------	----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	cription, Pages					
	1-1	5	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
1-24			as originally filed				
	D	wings, Sheets					
		•					
	1/6-	·6/6	as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Au language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).				
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07391

This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)). 5. □

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5, 8-11, 16, 20, 21, 24

Claims No:

1-4, 6, 7, 12-15, 17-19, 22, 23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

POINT V

- V-1. D1: US-3754609 discloses a stabilizer (26) designed to be slid onto a rod (150), comprising at least one elastic part (col.5, I.56-64) that can be deformed when the stabilizer is held in an initial position in compression against a shoulder (152) of the rod, this elastic part compensating for play that may appear later between the stabilizer and the rod (col.5, l.65-68). The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore not meet the requirements of novelty in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- V-2. D1 also discloses a rod (150) that will be fitted with at least one stabilizer according to claim 1, wherein the rod comprises a shoulder (152) that will cooperate with the stabilizer. The subject-matter of claim 12 does therefore not meet the requirements of novelty in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- V-3. D1 also discloses an assembly formed from at least one rod (150) according to claim 12 carrying at least one stabilizer (26) according to claim 22, wherein it also comprises external means (138, 144) contributing to holding the stabilizer (26) in compression with the shoulder (152) of rod (150). The subject-matter of claim 22 does therefore not meet the requirements of novelty in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- V-4. D1 also discloses the subject-matter of claims 2-4, 6, 7 (ribs 172 form longitudinally oriented projecting parts), 13-15, 17-19, 23. The subject-matter of said claims does therefore not meet the requirements of novelty in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- V-5. Dependent claims 5, 8-11, 16, 20, 21, 24 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.
- V-6. Following defects are pointed out:
 - Rule 6.3b)I) and ii) PCT: incorrect two part form of independent claims with regard to D1.
 - Art. 6 PCT in combination with PCT GL 3 III-4.3 a: statement in the description p.15, l.1-4 should have been excised.